

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
**Security Committee**

SECOM-M-267

20 April 1983

Minutes  
Two Hundred and Sixty-third Meeting  
Wednesday, 20 April 1983, 1000 - 1055 Hours  
Room 4E64, Langley Headquarters Building

[REDACTED]  
Chairman  
Presiding

25X1

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Robert C. Allen, Department of the Navy  
Mr. Maynard Anderson, Office of the Secretary of Defense  
[REDACTED], Central Intelligence Agency  
Mr. George J. Mercuro, Department of the Air Force  
Mr. D. Jerry Rubino, Department of Justice

25X1

ALTERNATES PRESENT

Mr. Thomas H. Blankenship, Department of Energy  
Mr. Frank Dill, Department of the Army  
Mr. Dan Downum, Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Capt. William C. Horn, USA, Department of the Navy  
[REDACTED], Central Intelligence Agency  
[REDACTED] Defense Intelligence Agency

25X1

25X1

ALSO PRESENT

Mr. Robert Andrews, Office of the Secretary of the Air Force  
[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] National Security Agency  
[REDACTED], National Security Agency  
Mr. Donald Stigers, Department of State  
[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Central Intelligence Agency  
[REDACTED], Executive Secretary  
[REDACTED] and [REDACTED], SECOM Staff

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

SECOM-M-267

Preliminary Comments

The Chairman:

1. Advised that ISOO Director Garfinkel held two meetings, and that he and [ ] had been in touch with Mr. Garfinkel, concerning implementation of NSDD-84's requirements for nondisclosure agreements (NdA) as a condition of access. [ ] said Mr. Garfinkel appeared satisfied with the text of Form 4193 for use as the standard NdA for access to SCI, but wanted some changes. The most significant one would be to add a reference to classified information as required by NSDD-84. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

2. Reported that Army, Air Force, Navy, Justice, FBI, NSA, CIA and Energy had advised that they supported establishment of a SECOM working group on physical security. He noted that Mr. Anderson had stated his support at the 23 February SECOM meeting. [ ] said most respondents had offered to provide members, and that CIA was willing to nominate an experienced senior officer to serve as chairman if SECOM endorses establishment of the group. Members indicated their support of this new body. [ ] advised that the initial organizational meeting would be held in early May, and that the group would be tasked to complete planning for and conduct the seminars for SCI physical security officers, and to review and propose revisions as needed to the physical security standards for SCI facilities. [ ] asked if industrial security had been consciously dropped from the group's charter. [ ] said DoD had a good industrial security program, and noted that several members had suggested we concentrate on physical security. He stated that for the time being we would handle industrial security issues on an ad hoc basis in the appropriate existing subcommittees. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. Noted that the IG/CM, at its 14 April meeting attended by [ ] had discussed the SECOM damage assessment data base options. [ ] said General Stilwell asked IG/CM members to comment on the options of a complete base (less names of persons on dissemination lists or suspects in leaks) and of a limited base (plus damage assessment data). The comments will form the basis for further guidance to SECOM on revising the options. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

4. Said the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) has been in touch with NSA concerning the OTA study of the polygraph. Mr. Anderson advised that he had received a letter from a Congressman asking for data on the personnel security clearance process as it impacts on persons in the competitive service, and that [ ] of NSA was scheduled to testify about the polygraph before a joint committee. [ ] said Messrs. [ ] were meeting on 20 April with a staff member of OTA concerning their study. He noted that current OTA activity is focused on a review of literature concerning the polygraph. Mr. Anderson summarized recent Congressional interest in the polygraph. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

5. Advised that the Assistant Secretary of State for Administration sent the CIA Deputy Director for Administration a draft proposal for management of the Moscow Security Program. [ ] noted that it is essentially a continuation of the SECOM program, and said that he had recommended CIA concurrence in the Senate proposal. [ ] 25X1 25X1

6. Invited attention to copies of the proposed FY 1985 SECOM budget. [ ] said this was based on member responses to his earlier request for rankings of subcommittee proposals. He said he thought the proposed budget reflected the sense of the SECOM. He stated that the third portion of the budget package - a forecast of FY 1986-89 requirements -- would be prepared later. [ ] asked members to review the proposed budget and provide him any substantive comments no later than 27 April so he could meet his 29 April submission deadline. [ ] 25X1 25X1 25X1

7. Reminded members that they had seen at the October 1982 SECOM seminar the videotape version of the security orientation for senior officials. [ ] said 50 copies of the videotape had been made for distribution to the Community, and we still have 40 left. He asked those who could make use of these videotapes to request them by 22 April, and stated that tapes remaining after that date would be erased for reuse. [ ] 25X1 25X1

8. Reported that the Acting DCI had approved our recommendation that the issue of 15- or 10-year scope of coverage in the draft revision of DCID 1/14 be discussed at the NFIC. [ ] said he did not know when that discussion would be held. [ ] 25X1

#### ITEM 1 Approval of Minutes

In the absence of requests for change, the minutes of the 23 and 30 March 1983 meetings were approved as written. [ ] 25X1

#### ITEM 2 Subcommittee Reports

A. Computer Security - [ ] reported that the subcommittee would meet in May 1983 to discuss the computer security project chaired by Dr. Ruth Davis, FY 1983 R&D contracts on computer security matters, and the collection requirement on hostile threats to U. S. computer systems. Mr. Dill advised that Mr. Studer, the Army member of the subcommittee, is retiring in May and would be sorely missed. [ ] agreed, commenting that Mr. Studer's contribution to the subcommittee will be difficult to replace. [ ] 25X1 25X1 25X1

B. Personnel Security - [ ] reported they met on 12 April and discussed reciprocal sharing of investigative data with regard to CIA policy on release of polygraph-derived information, and a Navy concern about NSA practices on Navy personnel whose access to NSA has been denied. He noted that Navy and NSA were to discuss their concerns directly and report the results to him. [ ] advised that the subcommittee voted to reevaluate DCID 1/14 reinvestigation requirements, and that they reviewed the status of personnel [ ] 25X1

SECRET

SECRET

security initiatives by the IG/CM, the Attorney General's working group under NSDD-84, the OPM, and OSD (with regard to their draft polygraph directive). He stated that the subcommittee voted to pursue a proposed study of the polygraph concurrent with the OTA study, and advised that he was preparing study parameters with input from OSD. [ ] reported that the ninth SCI adjudicators conference was scheduled for 23-27 May 1983 with 24 attendees, two of whom would be from GAO. [ ] introduced [ ] of NSA, who will be joining the SECOM staff and will be responsible for personnel security, security awareness, unauthorized disclosures and compartmentation security matters. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

C. Technical Surveillance Countermeasures - [ ] reported that the Audio Countermeasures Working Group is developing generic security standards for black telephones in secure areas. He noted that computerized telephone systems are being "trouble shot" remotely, and that this represents a security weakness. [ ]

25X1

25X1

### ITEM 3 DCID 1/7 Revision

[ ] noted a memorandum disseminated with the agenda which described and gave background information on three changes suggested to the SECOM draft revision of DCID 1/7. He asked for discussion. Mr. Anderson asked if the intent of the first change - to preclude recipients taking investigative action on ORCON-controlled material without originator permission - would not be better served by making it a generic requirement rather than one applicable only to headquarters elements. He agreed to a wording suggestion on this made by [ ] Members agreed to the first change as reworded, and to the other two changes, resulting in the draft revision being amended as follows:

25X1

25X1

- section 6.b(2), first sentence to read "Information bearing this marking may not be disseminated in whole or in part through briefings, incorporation into reports or in any other manner outside the headquarters elements of the recipient organizations, or used in taking investigative action, without the advance permission of and under conditions specified by the originator."
- section 3.b, delete the words "in addition to that provided by the National Security Act of 1947 (50 USC 403(d))" from the end of the first sentence; revise the second sentence to read: "Accordingly, Intelligence Community organizations hereby consent to the use of each other's classified intelligence in their respective intelligence documents, publications, or other information media, and to the dissemination of their products to other Intelligence Community organizations, except as specifically restricted by control markings prescribed in paragraph 6."
- footnote 2, page 1, add a new section (3) reading: "Information on Intelligence Community protective security programs (e.g., personnel, physical, technical and information security)."

SECRET

Col. Mercuro advised that the Compartmentation Subcommittee would meet on 26 April to address DCID 1/7 provisions regarding release of NO CONTRACT material. [ ] noted that subcommittee action might result in further changes to the draft revision. [ ]

25X1  
25X1

ITEM. 4 New Business

Mr. Anderson advised that he, [ ] would travel to Ft. McClellan in early May to determine if the Army Polygraph School there could satisfy Community needs for polygraph training. [ ]

25X1  
25X1

ITEM. 5 Next Meeting

[ ] scheduled the next regular meeting for 10:00 a.m. Wednesday, 25 May 1983.

25X1  
25X1

[ ]  
Executive Secretary